

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/711,363	09/14/2004	Kazuhiro KATO	040465	5362		
23850	7590 05/24/2005		EXAMINER			
ARMSTRO	NG, KRATZ, QUINTOS,	DINKINS, ANTHONY				
1725 K STR	EET, NW					
SUITE 1000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			2831			
				DATE MAILED: 05/24/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/	1	- To 4	1
nt(s)					
T AL.					
dence ac	Idros	e			
4000 44		,			
1					
sidered timel date of this c . § 133). e any		icatio	n.		
as to the	e mei	its is			
213.	,o.				
			•		
the Exar 1.85(a). See 37 Ci r form P1	FR 1.	121(너).		
).					
 National	Stag	е			

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/711,363	KATO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Anthony Dinkins	2831					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Se	eptember 2004.						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	<u></u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.	_						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 September 2004</u> is/a	are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objec	ted to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/14/2004</u> .	6) Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	tion Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20050501					

Application/Control Number: 10/711,363

Art Unit: 2831

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1-5 a "contact resistance enlarging portion" is recited which the examiner finds indefinite due to element is not specifically identified in applicant's drawings which makes it difficult for the examiner to understand what applicant is relying on as the "contact resistance enlarging portion".

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "contact resistance enlarging portion" as recited in claims 1-5 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate

Art Unit: 2831

changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

((e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as best understood by the examiner as being anticipated by Kim et al. (6,665,172). Regarding claim 1, (is being rejected as best understood by the examiner) Kim et al. disclose in Figure 6, a solid

electrolytic capacitor having a capacitor element (10) in which an anode lead (20) protrudes from one end of an anode member, an anode lead frame (30) being attached to the anode lead (20) by welding, col. 4, lines 64-67, col. 5, lines 12-13 and col. 6, lines 63-67; wherein a contact resistance enlarging portion is formed on a junction face of the anode lead frame (30) with the anode lead (20), the area over which the anode lead frame (30) comes into contact with the anode lead (20) being smaller than the portion other than the junction face. Regarding claim 2, (is being rejected as best understood by the examiner) Kim et al. disclose in Figure 6, a solid electrolytic capacitor having a capacitor element (10) in which an anode lead (20) protrudes from one end of an anode member, an anode lead frame (30) being attached to the anode lead (30), by welding, col. 4, lines 64-67; wherein a contact resistance enlarging portion is formed on a junction face of the anode lead (20) with the anode lead frame (30), the area over which the anode lead (20) comes into contact with the anode lead frame (30) being smaller than the portion other than the junction face. Regarding claim 3, wherein the contact resistance enlarging portion includes a groove (32) that is form by pressing during the production process of the lead frame (30) which the groove (32) is provided on a junction face of the lead frame col. 4, lines 48-54. Regarding claims 4 and 5, wherein the contact resistance portion is made by forming a front end portion of the anode lead frame (30) to an angular shape, col. 5, lines 65-67 and col. 6, lines 1-5.

Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,363

Art Unit: 2831

Nakamura. 6,411,498

Fukaumi et al. 6,154,358

Sano et al. JP 5-243100

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Dinkins whose telephone number is (571) 272-1972. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext. 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anthony Dinkins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2831

Page 5

AD

ANTHONY DINKINS
PRIMARY EXAMINER